

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2 and 4-20 are pending in the above-identified application. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 14, and 18 were rejected. Claims 5, 6, 8-13, 15-17, 19, and 20 were allowed. With this Amendment, claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 14, and 18 were cancelled. Accordingly, claims 5, 6, 8-13, 15-17, 19, and 20 are at issue.

I. Objection to the Drawings

Examiner has objected to the drawings because character “44” identifies two distinct elements in Figure 2. Applicant submits herewith a replacement drawing sheet 1 to correct Figure 2. Figure 2 has been amended to remove the second reference to character “44,” which was a typographical error. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the objection has been overcome and requests that it be withdrawn.

II. 35 U.S.C. § 112 Indefiniteness Rejection of Claims

Claims 2, 4, 14, and 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Claims 2, 4, 7, 14, and 18 have been cancelled. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of this rejection.

III. 35 U.S.C. § 103 Obviousness Rejection of Claims

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over UK patent to Pendlebury in view of Richardson. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Claim 1 has been cancelled. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of this rejection.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that all claims are clearly allowable over the cited prior art, and respectfully requests early and favorable notification to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 16, 2005

By: 

Marina N. Saito

Registration No. 42,121

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

P.O. Box 061080

Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower

Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080

(312) 876-8000

11877073\W-2